LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
OCT 2 9 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

No. 705

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Petitioner,

vs.

ELBERT EARL WILLIAMS,
Respondent.

Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the District Court of Appeal of the State of California First Appellate District, Division Three

THOMAS C. LYNCH
Attorney General
of the State of California

ALBERT W. HARRIS, JR.
Assistant Attorney General
of the State of California

EDWARD P. O'BRIEN
Deputy Attorney General
of the State of California

MICHAEL R. MARRON
Deputy Attorney General
of the State of California

6000 State Building
San Francisco, California 94102

Attorneys for Petitioner.

SORG PRINTING COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, 346 FIRST STREET, SAN FRANCISCO 94105

SUBJECT INDEX

	Page
Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented	2
Statement of the Case	3
Statement of Facts	3
How the Federal Question Arose	5
Reasons Why a Writ of Certiorari Should Be Granted	7
I. The Decision Below Represents an Unwarranted Extension of *Escobedo v. Illinois*, 378 U.S. 478 (1964), and Consequently Presents a Federal Constitutional Question Not Previously Determined by This Court	7
II. The Decision Below Is Contrary to the Decisions of Most State and Federal Courts on This Question and This Conflict Among the Courts Must Be Resolved in Order to Restore Stability to the Administration of Criminal Justice	9
Conclusion	11

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases	Pages
Bean v. State, 398 P.2d 251 (Nev. 1965)	10n.
Browne v. State, 131 N.W.2d 169 (Wis. 1964)	10n.
Campbell v. State, 384 S.W.2d 4 (Tenn. 1964)	9n.
Commonwealth v. Maroney, 206 A.2d 288 (Pa. 1965)	10n.
Commonwealth v. McCarthy, 200 N.E.2d 264 (Mass. 1964)	9n.
Creswell v. State, 387 S.W.2d 887 (Tex. 1965)	10n.
Edwards v. Holman, 342 F.2d 679 (5th Cir. 1965)	10
Escobedo v. Illinois, 378 U.S. 478 (1964)	6, 7, 8, 9, 10
McQueen v. Maxwell, 201 N.E.2d 701 (Ohio 1964)	10n.
Morford v. State, 395 P.2d 861 (Nev. 1964)	10n.
Pece v. Cox, 396 P.2d 422 (N.M. 1964)	10n.
People v. Dorado, 62 A.C. 350, 398 P.2d 361, cert. denied, 381 U.S. 946 (1965)	5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
People v. Gunner, 205 N.E.2d 852 (N.Y. 1965)	10n.
People v. Hartgraves, 202 N.E.2d 33 (Ill. 1964), cert. denied, 380 U.S. 961 (1965)	9, 10n.
People v. Kees, 205 N.E.2d 729 (Ill. 1965)	10n.
Russo v. New Jersey, ... F.2d ..... (3rd Cir. 1964), No. 14833, issued May 20, 1965	10
State v. Burke, 133 N.W.2d 753 (Wis. 1965)	10n.
State v. Dufour, 206 A.2d 82 (R.I. 1965)	9n.
State v. Hall, 397 P.2d 261 (Idaho 1964)	9n.
State v. Howard, 383 S.W.2d 701 (Mo. 1964)	10n.
State v. Naglee, 207 A.2d 689 (N.J. 1965)	10n.
State v. Neely, 395 P.2d 557 (Ore. 1964)	9n.
State v. Taylor, 133 N.W.2d 828 (Minn. 1965)	10n.

Pages

State v. Worley, 132 N.W.2d 764 (Neb. 1965) ..... 10n.
Sturgis v. State, 201 A.2d 681 (Md. 1964) ..... 10n.

United States v. Childress, 347 F.2d 448 (7th Cir. 1965) 10

Woodard v. State, 171 So.2d 462 (Ala. 1965) ..... 10n.

STATUTES

28 United States Code, section 1257(3) 2
California Penal Code, section 187 3
California Rules of Court, rule 976 2

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

No. .....

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Petitioner,

vs.

ELBERT EARL WILLIAMS,
Respondent.

Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the District Court of Appeal of the State of California First Appellate District, Division Three

The State of California prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the District Court of Appeal of the State of California, First Appellate District, Division Three, entered in the above case on May 14, 1965.

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the District Court of Appeal of the State of California, First Appellate District, Division Three, is printed in Appendix A hereto, *infra* pp. 1-4. The opinion of the District Court of Appeal upon denial of rehearing on June 2, 1965, is printed in Appendix B hereto, *infra,*